The following Forts Bragg, Campbell, Stewart and HAAF, and Polk RFQ related questions have been presented in writing to the Contract Specialist by potential offerors. Text additions, deletions, and changes to the questions are in red and a vertical line in the left margin indicates their locations. The Fort Bragg, Fort Campbell, Fort Stewart and HAAF, and Fort Polk RFQ and related questions, as amended, are provided in a Adobe Acrobat ".pdf" file on the Internet Website http://rci.army.mil/. This facilitates printing replacement pages to update an existing RFQ or printing a new updated RFQ.

1. Question: On page 22, paragraph 1, of the Southeast Group RFQ, it states that only firms that have developed at least three (3) projects with at least 300 housing units in each project will be considered as potential CDMP Development Partners. Is The Army enforcing this requirement? What qualifies a company as a developer?

Response:

Yes, all of the requirements specified in Part 1, paragraph 4.2 Administrative Minimum Experience Requirements, pages 21 – 22, will be reviewed by The Army to determine if offerors meet a basic level of experience prior to being further considered for the competitive range. Should an offeror fail to meet the Administrative Minimum Experience Requirements, The Army will reject their response to the RFQ and debrief the offeror on the strengths and weaknesses of their submission

A developer is defined as a person or company who has an ownership interest in and develops, improves real estate and builds, sell, and / or leases residential units placed thereon.

2. Question: Is tax-exempt project ownership and financing allowable in RCI projects?

Response: An alternate which utilizes tax-exempt project ownership is not precluded under the RFQ as drafted. Section 4.1 of the RFQ provides that a joint venture may include a 501(c)(3) corporation or other non-profit or not-for-profit organization. This language is intended to illustrate one means by which a tax-exempt entity may participate in the process, i.e., as a member of a joint venture. However, this language does not prevent an entity, which is capable of

meeting the minimums outlined in the RFQ, from submitting a proposal as a single offeror.